

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR · | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|--------------------|--|---------------------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/519,707 | 12/30/2004 | Eike Poetsch | MERCK-2963 | 3176 | |
| 23599 7590 03/23/2007 MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C. 2200 CLARENDON BLVD. SUITE 1400 ARLINGTON, VA 22201 | | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| | | | SERGENT, RABON A | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 1711 | | |
| | | ······································ | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |
| SHORTENED STATUTORY | PERIOD OF RESPONSE | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | | |
| 31 DA | AYS | 03/23/2007 | PAPER | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

| Office Action Summary | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| | | 10/519,707 | POETSCH ET AL. | | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | Rabon Sergent | 1711 | | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | | |
| WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Deperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | J. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1) | Responsive to communication(s) filed on | _• | | | | | |
| · | • | action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is | | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Dispositi | ion of Claims | | | | | | |
| 5) 6) 7) | Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 1-15 are subject to restriction and/or expressions. | vn from consideration. | | | | | |
| Applicati | ion Papers | | | | | | |
| ·· _ | • | r | | | | | |
| · · · | 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| , | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11) | 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority ι | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Attachment 1) Notic | t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) T latanda (0.0000 | /PTO 412) | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | | | | | | |
| | nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date | 5) Notice of Informal Page 1990 Other: | atent Application | | | | |

Art Unit: 1711

1. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Polymerizable compounds of Formula I defined by the variables R¹, R², R³, R⁴, R⁶, R⁶

Polymerizable compounds of Formula II defined by the variables P, Sp, X, R^{21} , A^{31} , A^{32} , A^{33} , Z^{31} , Z^{32} , m, and n, and

Polymerizable compounds of Formula III defined by the variables P, Sp, X, A^{41} , A^{42} , A^{43} , A^{44} , Z^4 , R^{41} , and n, wherein the definitions of the variables are set forth within claims 1, 3, 5, 6, and 8.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single clearly defined species for each variable set forth above for each of Formulas I, II, and III to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Claims 1-15 are deemed to correspond to the species listed above.

Application/Control Number: 10/519,707

Art Unit: 1711

2. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT

Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special

technical features for the following reasons: Each species yields a distinct chemical structure,

and they are not considered to be obvious variants.

3. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR

1.143).

4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the

currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR

1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

5. Given the number of Formulas, variables, and species per variable, a telephonic election

was not attempted.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to R. Sergent whose telephone number is (571) 272-1079.

R. Sergent March 20, 2007 RABON SERGENT

Page 3